

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

005/008

SEP 18 2006 PATENT
Attorney Docket No. UCSD-06783**REMARKS**

The Examiner indicates that the instant application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention and requires Applicants to elect a single species of ATPase for examination. In particular, Claim 70 recites "a variety of ATPases." With respect to "a variety of ATPases" in Claim 70, Applicants hereby elect CENP-E as a species for examination, while retaining their right to rejoinder. Applicants respectfully remind the Examiner that upon allowance of Claim 70, Applicants are entitled to consideration of claims to additional species (e.g., kinesin and TI-gamma).

In addition, Applicants hereby amend Claims 37, 72 and 73 and enter new Claims 74-76, in order to further the prosecution of the present application and Applicants' business interests, yet without acquiescing to the Examiner's arguments. Applicants reserve the right to prosecute the original, similar, or broader claims in one or more future application(s). Support for new Claims 74-76 is found, for example, in Table 2 of the application as filed, which provides results of testing adiociasulfate on activity of CENP-E, kinesin and TI gamma among other ATPases (Specification, at page 50). Thus, no new matter has been introduced.

Conclusion

Should the Examiner believe a telephone interview would aid in the prosecution of this application, Applicants encourage the Examiner to call the undersigned collect before the mailing of a further Office Action.

Dated: September 18, 2006By: Christine A. Lekutis
Registration No. 51,934MEDLEN & CARROLL, LLP
101 Howard Street, Suite 350
San Francisco, California 94105
415.904.6500